

Fig S1. LM22A-3, LM22A-4, BDNF additivity analyses. (A) survival analysis of E16 hippocampal neurons treated with BDNF alone (0.7 nM), LM22A-3 alone (each at 500 nM) or with BDNF + LM22A-3. 59-114 wells (n) for each condition derived from 4 experiments were analyzed. **(B)** survival analysis of E16 hippocampal neurons treated with BDNF alone (0.7 nM), LM22A-3 (500 nM), LM22A-4 (500 nM), or LM22A-3 plus LM22A-4 each at 500 nM. Results from three independent experiments and 30 fields. Statistical analysis performed using ANOVA with posthoc Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons Test.

In Vitro Pharmacology LM22A-4 Binding Assays

Assay Cerep Compound I.D.	Client Compound I.D.	Test Concentration (M)	% Inhibition of Control Specific Binding
A1 (h) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	7
A _{2A} (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	8
A ₃ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	1
α ₁ (non-selective) (antagonist radioligand)	11000	1 05 05	
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	14
α ₂ (non-selective) (antagonist radioligand)	1.1100.4.4	4.05.05	40
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-10
$\beta_1(n)$ (agonist radioligand)	1 1000 4	4.05.05	4
	LINZZA-4	1.0E-05	1
$\beta_2(n)$ (agonist radioligand)	1.1100.4.4	4.05.05	1
17303-2	LINZZA-4	1.0E-05	4
AT ₁ (<i>n</i>) (antagonist radioligand)	1 1000 4	1.05.05	0
P7D (control) (consist radializand)	LIVIZZA-4	1.0E-05	o
	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	10
B. (h) (agonist radioligand)		1.02-03	10
17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	7
CB. (b) (agonist radioligand)		1.02-03	,
17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	1
$CCK_{4}(CCK_{4})$ (b) (agonist radioligand)		1.02 00	
17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	-27
D_1 (h) (antagonist radioligand)		1.02 00	L.
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	4
D_{28} (h) (antagonist radioligand)			·
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-3
$ET_{A}(h)$ (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-18
GABA (non-selective) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	1
GAL ₂ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-13
CXCR2 (IL-8B) (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-25
CCR1 (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-4
H ₁ (h) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-13
H ₂ (h) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-14
MC ₄ (<i>h</i>) (agonist radioligand)	11001		10
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-19
MI_1 (ML_{1A}) (<i>n</i>) (agonist radioligand)	1 1000 4	1.05.05	4
1/303-2 M (h) (entereniet redictioned)	LINZZA-4	1.0E-05	-4
17202.2	LM22A_4	1 OE 05	2
M. (b) (antagonist radioligand)		1.02-03	-2
17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	-7
$M_{2}(h)$ (antagonist radioligand)		1.02 00	
17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	0
NK ₂ (h) (agonist radioligand)	·······		~
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-6
$NK_3(h)$ (antagonist radioligand)			~
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	1
Y ₁ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-3
Y ₂ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-17

Assay Cerep Compound I.D.	Client Compound I.D.	Test Concentration (M)	% Inhibition of Control Specific Binding
NTS ₁ (NT ₁) (<i>h</i>) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	1
δ_2 (DOP) <i>(h)</i> (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	3
κ (KOP) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-4
μ (MOP) <i>(h)</i> (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	6
NOP (ORL1) (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	4
TP (h) (TXA ₂ /PGH ₂) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-6
5-HT _{1A} (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-3
5-HT _{1B} (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-4
5-HT _{2A} (h) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-2
5-HT _{2B} (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	9
5-HT ₃ (h) (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	2
5-HT _{5a} (<i>h</i>) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	0
5-HT ₆ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	0
5-HT ₇ (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-2
sst (non-selective) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-11
VPAC ₁ (VIP ₁) (h) (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-6
V _{1a} <i>(h)</i> (agonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	4
Ca ²⁺ channel (L, verapamil site) (phenylalkyla	mine) (antagonist radio	ligand)	
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-12
K _v channel (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-3
SK _{Ca} channel (antagonist radioligand)			
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-1
Na ⁺ channel (site 2) (antagonist radioligand)			_
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	9
CI channel (GABA-gated) (antagonist radiolig	and)		
17303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	1
norepinephrine transporter (h) (antagonist rac	nongand)		
1/303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	-/
dopamine transporter (h) (antagonist radioliga	and)		
1/303-2	LM22A-4	1.0E-05	14
р-пі transporter (<i>n</i>) (antagonist radioligand) 17303-2	I M22A-4	1.0E-05	4
17.000-2		1.02-03	4

Fig S2. Cerep ExpresSProfile screen of LM22A-4 binding to pharmacologically relevant receptors.



Fig S3. TrkB blocking antibody blocks LM22A-3 and LM22A-4 induced Trk, AKT and ERK activation in cultured hippocampal neurons. Western blot analysis of hippocampal neurons treated with CM, BDNF (0.7 nM), LM22A-3 or LM22A-4 (500 nM) with or without TrkB-extracellular domain monoclonal antibody or control non-immune serum (Nab) at a final dilution of 1:400. Cells were pretreated with TrkB-extracellular domain monoclonal antibody or control non-immune serum for 4 hours, followed by BDNF, LM22A-3 or LM22A-4 for 30 min. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting. n = 12 western analyses assessing four independent protein preparations. (A) representative blot. (B-D) densitometric quantification as indicated. Statistical analysis performed using ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons Test.







Fig S5. K252a blocks LM22A-3 and LM22A-4-induced TrkB, AKT and ERK activation in cultured 3T3-TrkB cells. 3T3-TrkB cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum to 90% confluence then switched into serum free DMEM for 24 hours; cells were pretreated with K252a (200nM) for 30 min, followed by BDNF (0.7 nM), LM22A-3 (500 nM) or LM22A-4 (500 nM) for 60 min. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting. n = 6, assessing three independent protein preparations. Statistical analysis performed using ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey-Kramer Multiple Comparisons Test. (A) representative blot. (B-D) densitometric quantification as indicated.



Fig S6. LM22A-4 does not affect lesion volume following TBI. Representative NissI-stained sections showing cavitary lesions 21 days following impact. Lesion volumes were as indicated (mean±SE, n=6/group, P=0.82, no significant difference by Student t testing).